

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO		
10/814,350	03/30/2004	Gary A. Demos	07314-005002 7767		
20985 FISH & RICHA	7590 09/21/2007 ARDSON, PC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022	2		PHILIPPE, GIMS S		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		1					
Office Action Summary		Application No).	Applicant(s)			
		10/814,350		DEMOS, GARY A.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Gims S. Philipp		2621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair any reply records	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing int term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS C 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expir , cause the application	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
close	ed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition o	f Claims						
4a) C 5)⊠ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. If the above claim(s) is/are withdrav m(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-3,6,7,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected. m(s) <u>4-5, 8-10, 13-16</u> is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from conside					
Application P	apers						
9) <u></u> The s	specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) <u></u> The o	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	epted or b)⊡ ol	ojected to by the E	Examiner.			
Appli	cant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	· 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice of Day 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08))/Mail Date	4) [_ 5) [_ 6) [Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/814,350

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

This is a first office action in response to application no.10/814,350 filed on March 30 2004 in which claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wischermann (US Patent no. 5,519,453).

As per claim 1, Wischermann discloses a method for enhancing image quality in an image encoding system (See Abstract, col. 1, lines 38-43), including applying a median filter to horizontal pixel values of a digital video image; applying a median filter to vertical pixel values of the digital video image (col. 1, lines 38-52 and col. 2, lines 14-25); and averaging the results of the filtering of the horizontal pixels and vertical pixel values to create a noise-reduced digital video image (col. 1, lines 52-62 and col. 6, lines 13-15).

Art Unit: 2621

As per claim 3, Wischermann discloses a method for enhancing image quality in an image encoding system, including applying a temporal median filter to corresponding pixel values of a previous digital video image, a current digital video image, and a next digital video image to create a noise-reduced digital video image (See col. 2, lines 52-65 and col. 3, lines 51-65).

As per claim 6, Wischermann discloses a method for enhancing image quality in an image encoding system (See Abstract, col. 1, lines 38-43), including applying a horizontal median filter to horizontal pixel values of a current digital video image; applying a vertical median filter to vertical pixel values of the current digital video image (See col. 1, lines 38-52 and col. 2, lines 14-25); applying a temporal median filter to corresponding pixel values of a previous digital video image, the current digital video image, and a next digital video image; and applying a median filter to corresponding pixel values produced by each of the horizontal, vertical, and temporal filters to create a noise-reduced digital video image (See col. 2, lines 52-65 and col. 3, lines 51-65).

As per claim 7, Wischermann discloses a method for enhancing image quality in an image encoding system, including creating a noise-reduced digital video image comprising a linear weighted sum of five terms: a current digital video image; an average of horizontal and vertical medians of the current digital video image; a thresholded temporal median; an average of horizontal and vertical medians of the

Art Unit: 2621

thresholded temporal median; and a median of the thresholded temporal median and horizontal and vertical medians of the current digital video image (See col. 2, lines 26-65, and col. 5, lines 3-11 and lines 26-38).

3. Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hong (US Patent no. 5,493,338).

As per claim 11, Hong discloses a method for enhancing image quality in an image encoding system, including: determining a motion vector for each nxn pixel region of a current digital video image with respect to at least one previous digital video image and at least one subsequent digital video image; and applying a center weighted temporal filter to each nxn pixel region of the current digital video image and corresponding motion-vector offset nxn pixel regions of the at least one previous digital video image and at least one subsequent digital video image to create a motion- compensated image (See Hong col. 7, lines 20-38, and col. 8, lines 46-62).

As per claim 12, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 11. In addition, Hong further discloses a method wherein each digital video image is a de-interlaced field-frame (See Hong col. 8, lines 25-46).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,350 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wischermann (US Patent no. 5519453) in view of Hong (US Patent no. 5493338).

Regarding claim 2, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the above rejection of claim 1.

It is noted that Wishermann is silent about applying the median filter to diagonal pixels values as specified in the claim.

However, Hong discloses a noise reduction method including the step of applying a median filter to diagonal pixel values of the digital image (See Hong col. 7, lines 5-9 and lines 47-59).

Therefore, it is considered obvious that one skilled in the art at the time of the invention would recognize the advantage of modifying Wisherman's enhancing method by incorporating Hong' step of applying a median filter to diagonal pixel values of the digital image. The motivation for performing such a modification in Wischermann is to be able to eliminate noise even in very slow motion as taught by Hong (See Hong col. 8, lines 56-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,350 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

6. Claims 13-16, 4-5, 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 7. Claims 17-18 are allowed.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sacks et al. (US Patent no. 6,111,975) teaches minimum difference processor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gims S. Philippe whose telephone number is (571) 272-7336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30-7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dastouri Mehrdad can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,350 Page 7

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gims S Philippe Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

GSP

September 16, 2007